

TOWN OF WARNER – PLANNING BOARD
Approved Meeting Minutes
April 3, 2017 **7:00 PM**
Warner Town Hall, Lower Level

Members Present: Chairman Ben Frost, Vice Chair Barbara Annis, Selectman’s Representative John Dabuliewicz, Ben Inman, Ken Millender, Don Hall, Peter Anderson Alternate, James Gaffney Alternate
Also Present: Land Use Secretary Lois Lord
Absent: Aedan Sherman

1. OPEN MEETING

Chairman Frost opened the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Roll was taken with eight members present and one absent. Chairman Frost asked James Gaffney to sit in as full board member for Aedan Sherman.

3. MINUTES APPROVING – The meeting minutes of 3/6/17 were reviewed for approval with a few minor corrections noted. MOTION to approve the minutes as amended was made by Barbara Annis, seconded by Ken Millender. A voice vote was taken with all in favor 7-0-0.

4. NEW BUSINESS

Public Hearing of Site Plan Application

Applicant: Dragonfly Holdings, LLC – Eric Miller

Property Owner: Richard M. George

Property Location: Warner Road, Map 3, Lot 33, Zoning District C-1

Site Plan for new construction of two buildings connected by a breezeway for use as an indoor gun range and retail store.

Review and Accept/Reject Application

Close Meeting and open Public Hearing

Close Public Hearing and reopen Meeting

Approve/Deny or Continue Meeting/Public Hearing

Chairman Frost noted the next order of business is this application and it would be an anti-climactic evening for the public in attendance as the public hearing would not be held. There was inadequate notice to the State of New Hampshire who is an abutter and we are duty bound to give the state its proper notice.

Chairman Frost recommended to the board that they proceed first with the review of the completeness of the application to determine if the board deems it ready to review. He continued, if we do that we can provide the applicant with recommendations of additional information we want to see in order for us to be able to review it. We will not hold the public hearing and Chairman Frost stated he realizes a lot of folks are in attendance tonight because they want to talk about the application. The Planning Board wants to hear what they have to say but we are legally bound to let the State have its proper legal notice. Chairman Frost suggested holding the public hearing on April 17th at 7 p.m. in this same location assuming they have a complete application by then.

The board was in agreement and Chairman Frost directed the board to review the application for completeness, specifically the checklist which was divided amongst board members.

Mr. Paul Alfonso audience member spoke as a representative of MadgeTech who is an abutter: Right now you're conducting a public hearing to decide whether or not the application is complete? Chairman Frost replied he has not opened the public hearing and this is the board's deliberation on the completeness of the application and whether we will accept it. Mr. Alfonso clarified there will be a separate hearing which at this point is scheduled for the 17th for public hearing to determine if the application is complete. Chairman Frost: We will determine tonight whether we deem the application to be complete. Mr. Alfonso: I was wondering if all of the abutters will be entitled to be heard. Chairman Frost: I have your letter, thank you. All we're going to do tonight is the board is going to review the application for completeness and let the applicant know whether there are further things that we want to see. We may choose to accept the application but we're not going to hold the Public Hearing tonight. Mr. Alfonso: We have some thoughts on things that perhaps should be submitted to the board as well. Chairman Frost: I have your letter.

Alice Chamberlin audience member: Was the ZBA notice also defective? Several audience members responded in the affirmative. Chairman Frost: I don't know who said that but it's been asserted it was defective. Alice Chamberlin: Will they have a hearing before your hearing? Chairman Frost: It is unlikely. If they choose to grant the motion for rehearing which has been filed, it is unlikely that they would actually hold the rehearing prior to the 17th. These are two separate processes, it's not necessary for the ZBA to go first; the Planning Board can go first. If let's say, hypothetically the Planning Board granted an approval on the 17th, we would be obliged, if the ZBA granted the motion for rehearing at their next meeting which will probably be next week, we would be obliged to establish as a condition of approval that the ZBA grant or deny the rehearing upholding its grant of special exception, that's just process.

Selectman Dabuliewicz asked why the Planning Board would go through the whole public hearing process, not knowing whether the Zoning Board was going to uphold its action. Chairman Frost responded because we have an application for a site plan and they have already granted the special exception.

At this point some audience members were leaving and Chairman Frost told them that the materials the board is reviewing are available in the Land Use Office.

The Planning Board reviewed the application until 7:27.

Chairman Frost explained the board would go through the checklist and identify anything they feel is missing that they would need to review the application and that they may or may not choose to accept the application as complete. The board's findings were as follows:

Checklist Page 1 – Ken Millender noted that on A1.d, they are not quite sure that the abutters are properly identified and listed. Chairman Frost asked if there were any specific ones they feel are missing. Ken replied the question is, on the far side of Route 103 from the site, they have identified Lot 47 but not 48, 46 and 45 so they are not sure if they should be included. Chairman Frost asked if they are scaling that off for 200'. James Gaffney answered it is questionable and we need something to measure better with.

Mr. Eric Miller, Managing Member of Dragonfly Holdings: Google maps has a measuring tool and measuring from the nearest point on Lot 45 had it at 245''.

Ken responded, so that bottom left corner of Lot 45 is 245' from your closest point. Mr. Miller said that is correct according to Google Maps. Chairman Frost asked if the owner of Lot 47 was noticed and was told they were. Ken said we have the State of New Hampshire for Lots 30, 43 and 44 and we're assuming those

are the ones that were not noticed. Chairman Frost asked Lois Lord if that was correct and noted that 43 is the shed and 44 is the State Forest. Ken said that 30 is that little tiny piece right on the corner. Chairman Frost stated these may actually have different addresses, DOT and DRED.

Mr. Miller: I have a deed history on this that started with Lot 44, 34 and 36. Over time they had broken that up starting in 1963 and moving forward. The survey shows Lot 30 as being part of DRED, however when the interstate went in, there was one reference where there was an arrow pointing there that said DOT. The record seems to indicate that it's currently DRED but I'm not sure.

Chairman Frost stated that given that we have both the State Forest and the Shed, both DOT and DRED, it would be proper to notice both of them irrespective of Lot 30.

Ken Millender continued with their checklist review of page 1 of the checklist with A1.g and stating we've got some nice colored elevation views. The only things lacking are some dimensions on the building of these colored views. Ken continued, on A2.i. it's the same abutter's notes as with A1.d. Chairman Frost clarified that everything else under A.2 was correct.

Selectman Dabuliewicz asked, regarding A1.i, how has the board traditionally determined whether a project has regional impact given that there's a very vague reference in the statute.

Chairman Frost replied that he doesn't know if the board has a standard practice, and haven't observed one while he has been on the board. He does think it's appropriate for the board to determine tonight whether it believes this is an project that has the potential for regional impact under the statutes.

Selectman Dabuliewicz asked Mr. Miller if he knows the distance between his lot and the Hopkinton town line. Mr. Miller replied it is just shy of a mile and if you were to take a pin and put it where the buildings are going to be and rotate it, Warner Road that goes into Hopkinton, you go a long ways. You have the Fairgrounds and all that but if you rotate that pin inwards towards the interstate there is a development in there with residential housing that is about 1.1 miles away from where the building would be through a thick forest.

Chairman Frost noted that statute to which Selectman Dabuliewicz referred to is Developments of Regional Impact, RSA 36:54-57 and what it essentially compels the board to do and any Land Use Board is to ask the question of itself, do we believe this is a development that has the potential for regional impact relative to (at this point he read from the statute as follows): *the size or number of existing dwellings, proximity to the borders of a neighboring community, transportation networks, anticipated emissions such as light, noise, smoke, odors or particles, proximity to aquifers or surface waters which transcend municipal boundaries, shared facilities such as schools and solid waste disposal facilities.*

Chairman Frost continued, those are the criteria on some of the issues we should consider in determining whether or not a development has the potential for regional impact and it also says *but not limited to*. If there are other things that we deem to be of interest to an adjoining municipality then we could include those.

Chairman Frost asked if the board feels that this has the potential for regional impact. He proposed a MOTION that the board determines this is a development that does not have the potential for regional impact. Motion was moved by Selectman Dabuliewicz, seconded by Ken Millender. A voice vote was taken with all in favor 7-0-0.

Audience member Joan Hinendale spoke: I have a coworker from Warner Road over the Hopkinton line and she called her police department who knew nothing about it. I think at least it would be a courtesy to let Hopkinton know and maybe their police department know that something like this is being planned.

Chairman Frost replied that the purpose of the regional impact statute is to notify. In cases where the board determines the development has the potential for regional impact, they would notify the affected municipality and the Regional Planning Commission so that they may provide input. The municipality and the Regional Planning Commission would have the opportunity to testify as if they were an abutter but they would not have the ability to appeal a decision of the board. That is the purpose of that statute. This board has just determined it does not believe this is a development that has the potential of regional impact so we will not be notifying Hopkinton or the Central Regional Planning Commission.

Mr. Miller stated he would be happy to stop by the Hopkinton Police Department tomorrow and sit with them. Chairman Frost said that is totally within your rights.

The review continued to Page 2 of the checklist and Selectman Dabuliewicz noted that on item A2.j. you're supposed to include watercourses, water bodies, wetlands trees and other vegetation in the drawing. You do have topographical features but I didn't find any natural features that are identified on the plan.

Mr. Miller noted this piece of property was owned by DRED and managed as a state forest for the last 100 years. What it has is 80 foot tall pine trees. They have come in on a regular basis and done forestry and there is a whole series of very large trees. The 100 year floodplain roughly on the south side, you cross the road and go towards Knoxland. There is some 100 floodplain and I have a 150' piece of property that belongs to MadgeTech between the end of my property and that road which I believe is Old Warner Road. And on the opposite side, some distance from there, is wetlands but it was outside of the scope of the drawings.

Selectman Dabuliewicz said he's trying to get a sense of where the lot is. He explained this is the second application he has reviewed while on the Planning Board and he's not sure how much of a stickler we are for things like that but none of these drawings show any vegetation at all. Mr. Miller responded: It is my intent to remove the trees and I've been told by at least 3 different people that if I remove part of that forest the 80' trees will come down in the wind so I need to remove those trees and bring in vegetation that is not a danger to me or my neighbors.

Chairman Frost noted that sheet 2 of the drawings does indicate existing wooded site.

Barbara Annis read from the checklist A2.o as follows: *The type, extent and location of existing and proposed landscaping and open space areas including what existing landscaping and open space areas will be retained*, and noted there is nothing on the drawings that shows what you're planning to do for landscaping or what you are going to leave that is currently there. I want to see it in writing.

Mr. Miller: The intent is to surround the perimeter of the property with alternating apples trees and Japanese maples. During the spring the apple trees will bloom and in the fall the Japanese maple will be stunning. I'm planning on putting grass down on the open spaces and there will be some perennials by the building itself. I would be happy to put that in writing and submit it on the 17th. Barbara Annis noted that the board needs plans.

Alice Chamberlin, audience member brought up a point of order stating: Is he providing the input now or will he be required to provide additional information.

Chairman Frost responded that we are identifying things that we believe should be included in the application and so in that regard, we're looking for a landscaping plan, not just some things shown on the elevation view but an overhead showing location, number, species, sizes of vegetation that you plan to put in.

Selectman Dabuliewicz continued the review of the checklist with A2.s *Snow storage locations* and noted there are none shown on the plan that are identifiable. Mr. Miller responded that he had asked the civil engineer to skip the meeting because he thought it was going to be rescheduled so he has a plan for it and I cannot speak to it tonight. Chairman Frost apologized for the applicant's confusion on the meeting.

Peter Anderson continued with A2.w and there was a question on whether or not there should be some sort of stop sign on the way out of the parking lot exiting onto Warner Road.

Chairman Frost noted in the description of the project as part of the application, the applicant mentions a pedestal sign and miscellaneous parking signs for handicapped parking, no parking signs for turnaround spaces and directional signs offering instructions for where the entrance is located. Chairman Frost asked Mr. Miller to indicate where those things are on site plan drawing sheet 2.

Peter asked where employees are going to park. Mr. Miller replied probably in the overflow lot. Peter asked that he indicate that on the drawings and asked if there was any curbing in this development.

Mr. Miller: In a discussion I had about the 5 foot offset of the building, there may need to be a curb that goes around there and there will be a curb cut. I do expect to have handicapped accessible firing lanes and each of the doorways leading from the front door to there will be accessible. I will do a curb cut and have a wide path leading up to the front door that meets with ADA requirements.

Chairman Frost clarified that the curbing would be in the area immediately in front of the proposed retail space. Mr. Miller added it would be zigged around where the range starts and end somewhere over there to make it flow in. If that's going to protect my perennials around the building I may just carry it out. I will have that for you on the 17th.

Peter Anderson stated he couldn't find any height for the building. Mr. Miller replied: That is my mistake and I am still in discussions on the HVAC vendor and which may determine whether I have a 5 pitch or a 4 pitch roof and then we'll have the answer to that. Peter then asked about the lighting in the parking area.

Mr. Miller: There is a lighting plan that was submitted. I talked to a vendor in Concord who suggested putting a light around the entire perimeter about every 5 feet and I think I'll back down on that a bit. There are 5 light poles in the parking lot. It was noted the lighting plan was a single sheet included in the meeting packets and was labeled *drainage*. Chairman Frost asked the applicant to change that to *lighting* and stated they would also need detail on the lighting fixtures themselves, the specs of the pole and the wall pack lights.

Peter noted Mr. Miller indicates where his septic tank and well will be but they don't have the distances from the well to the building and to the septic system. Peter did note there is a 100' protective well radius shown. Mr. Miller said he will make sure that is shown. Peter then mentioned the electric lines and poles which on the drawing appear to stop before they get to the building. Mr. Miller said it will all be underground and Peter asked him to indicate that on the drawing and asked him about the heating for the building. Mr. Miller said there will be an external propane tank.

Chairman Frost asked for clarification on the electrical and where it goes underground. Mr. Miller replied the easement goes across the property and ends at a pole that has a series of transformers on it. MadgeTech uses a 3 pole electric system and he believes he will also be using the 3 pole system. In that case, it would go down that pole and underground from there back to the building itself. Chairman Frost said they will need the locations of that.

Peter asked about the proposed signage for the site and Mr. Miller said it will be a sign 20 sq. ft. and as he doesn't have a logo yet does not have a mockup. The location, if you look at the site plan drawing page 2, it's on the north side of the entrance parallel to the entrance. James Gaffney noted there is a reference to an externally lit sign as well in the application.

Chairman Frost asked Peter Anderson to go back to his reference regarding the well. Peter said it is a requirement (item A2.aa on the checklist) to show the distance from the water supply and sewage facilities onsite within 200'. His question is whether the well and septic are within those 200'. Selectman Dabuliewicz stated the reason that's in there, based on his previous experience, is so that abutter's space is not violated. There isn't one now, and as long as the septic system is far enough from the well, he's not sure why it is an issue. It was clarified that Mr. Miller will include that information.

Sarah Dustin audience member said she understands the board is not hearing public testimony and just the narrative of the application. She asked if there would be an opportunity for public testimony from those of us who believe there is a regional impact. Chairman Frost said she may wish to state that when they hold the public hearing but note that this board has already made its decision on that. Sarah continued there's no opportunity for public input before the board meeting? Chairman Frost said not on the determination of regional impact because the purpose of that is notification and that comes before the public hearing so we make the determination before that so we know who we're supposed to be noticing. When we get to the public hearing we're fairly liberal in testimony that we allow. We don't require clear demonstration that you are an abutter or that you have a clear financial interest in the outcome of the application but just that you have some demonstrable interest in what's going on.

Audience member Renee Adams of Old Warner Road asked if Chairman Frost is saying there can be written regional impact statement before the public hearing that would be accepted. He replied that you may choose to address the regional impact statute which is wholly within your right or you can simply provide information to this board that you feel is necessary and appropriate at the public hearing. Mr. Miller offered to speak to any audience members after the meeting with any questions they might have.

Chairman Frost noted they are finished with the checklist. Selectman Dabuliewicz mentioned the Land Use Secretary had received an email from Fire Chief Raymond expressing a concern about the fire department being able to access the rear of the building. Mr. Miller responded he has spoken to the Fire Chief about fire containment but that email came in subsequent to that conversation. The overflow parking will get fire trucks to the east corner of the building. What I will propose to the Fire Chief, and I will call him before the 17th, is that I put a standpipe in the breezeway. That will allow them to pull their fire truck up to the front, hook up to the standpipe and inside they then hook up their fire hoses. They would then be able to go into the store or into the range itself in order to address the fire. I will ask him if he feels that is worth adding to the plan itself.

Selectman Dabuliewicz stated that one other issue he's fairly sure people are going to ask about is noise and what steps are taken to insure that you can't hear firing outside the building.

Mr. Miller: In the Zoning Board meeting what I was able to say was that there is a specification on building. The Department of Energy has a standard for indoor ranges in terms of environmental impact to the Meeting Minutes of 4/3/17 Approved by Warner Planning Board 5/1/17

community; it also has minimum standards for how you build ranges. What I did was I went well beyond the minimum to a great extent. The minimum is you have a sound abatement panel and a 6 inch wall. The product I'm using for the range itself is an insulated concrete form that has an insulated panel on the outside, 6 inch core of cement, another foam insulation... Chairman Frost stopped Mr. Miller at this point stating he is getting into some fairly detailed discussion about the application and we need to save that for the next meeting.

Selectman Frost said what he would like to see is not just Mr. Miller talking about the standards but would like a reference. Mr. Miller said he has an engineering spec that he can give the board and a report on sound abatement. Selectman requested the board has that as part of their files to that anyone who comes in to the Land Use Office can see those standards. He also said if there is a link to online resources so that people can see the standards from the comfort of their own homes that would be great too.

James Gaffney asked about other ranges in the region that had been constructed in the last few years that may help in explaining what Mr. Miller is going to do to mitigate any concerns. He noted Manchester Firing Lane recently built a new range in a quasi-residential/commercial area and that was done quite successfully.

Selectman Dabuliewicz asked if Mr. Miller was aware of other ranges that had the level of sound proofing that he is talking about that one might visit.

Mr. Miller responded that Manchester Firing range is one, Granite State would be another and there is an older one in Belmont. Each of these three has the level of air handling systems that this range would have. I would imagine that Manchester and Granite State would have the type of sound abatement that I'm talking about. The difference between their design and my design is that inside of my range....Selectman Frost asked Mr. Miller to save that for the next meeting.

Chairman Frost said he has been writing everything down and we obviously know the issues of needing to notify DOT and DRED. He read a list of items Mr. Miller should submit prior to the meeting on the 17th as follows:

- Dimensions of the building elevation
- Snow storage plan
- All signage indicated on the plan. Regarding the business sign as opposed to the directional signs, as much detail as you can provide. Size and how external illumination is going work.
- Curbing, existing and type
- Detail on porous pavers
- Sidewalk dimensions and material
- Profile of ADA ramp
- Specs on lighting installation, pole and wall pack
- Emergency exits
- Location of propane tank
- Distance of the septic and well from the building
- DOE standards on indoor ranges
- Landscaping plan
- Eversource easement document
- Confirm or refute existence of 49 CFR hazardous material and if confirmed, method of storage

Barbara asked about storage of ammunition and Mr. Miller said he will have a 12x12 safe inside. Don Hall asked if any input had been received from the Department of Public Works and the Police Chief. Lois Lord stated she had heard from both of them and neither one had any issues. Chairman Frost asked for those in

writing and Lois stated she has an email from Chief Chandler and can get an email from the DPW and that she has something from the Fire Chief. She received them all after the meeting packets had gone out.

James Gaffney asked if there should be some sort of communication from the Planning Board to the Zoning Board on who additionally we are recommended be listed as an abutter so we're all on the same page. Chairman Frost said he understood the point but doesn't think there needs to be a formal communication from the board for that and Lois is fully apprised of the need for notice.

Chairman Frost asked if there was anything else on the completeness of the application and there was not. He asked the board if they wanted to vote on the completeness tonight or wait until the 17th for which the meeting will be re-noticed along with notice of the public hearing. The board agreed that they would wait until the 17th. Chairman Frost noted anyone who got a formal public notice by certified mail will get another notice by certified mail and we will include the State of New Hampshire Department of Transportation and Department of Resources and Economic Development. We will vote on the completeness at that meeting and if the board determines it to be sufficiently complete we will then proceed with review of the application and hold the public hearing that evening.

Audience Member Joan Chamberlain wanted to know if they look at the applications that came in for the rest of that area because she feels like those pine trees..... (recording was inaudible). I just want to know if, when the tractor company went in I thought those trees were required to be there as a buffer zone. Chairman Frost asked Ms. Chamberlain to save that for the public hearing.

Election of Planning Board Officers

Chairman Frost directed the meeting to the election of Planning Board officers for this year. He stated he is willing to continue serving as Chairman but would happily step aside for anyone else who would like to do it. He then asked Barbara Annis if she would be willing to continue as Vice Chair and she replied she would be. Chairman Frost asked if anyone would like to make any nominations.

James Gaffney said he would like to nominate status quo. Chairman Frost said it does not need a second and asked for any other nominations. There were none. Chairman Frost closed nominations and stated given that the elections are unopposed he would recommend they vote the slate. He asked that all in favor of the slate signify by saying aye. All were in favor and the nominations were carried. Lois Lord noted they do have an alternate vacancy and if anyone knows of anybody interested to send them in to the office.

Discussion was had on how many alternate positions are available (that being one) and that the statute states the board can have up to 5 alternates. Don Hall asked if Aedan was done with the board. Lois said she has not heard anything to that effect, looked at the attendance and according to that he hasn't been to a meeting this year. Chairman Frost said he will give Aedan a call to see what his plans are, that he was ready to leave the board but offered to stay on because we had a lot of turnover at the time.

James Gaffney talked about the public hearing on the 17th, that there will be a lot of attendees and did the board want to meet upstairs so they will have more room. Barbara Annis noted they have had public hearings upstairs before and it was agreed that the acoustics are terrible in that space. James said Warner Planning Board is holding a public hearing primarily for the residents of Warner and not to exclude other folks but has there ever been consideration of giving the residents of Warner and abutters priority. Chairman Frost said he thinks we need to be hypothetical in this because this is general process. He stated he believes that people who show up to a meeting generally speaking deserve to be heard whether they are Warner residents or from elsewhere, provided you have a demonstrable interest in the project whether you live in this town or another town. Here it is a lot more flexible.

James continued I don't want to get into the situation where we have 100-200 people show up and the people who live here don't have the opportunity to be heard. Chairman Frost replied that it's a good point and let's not get too deep into this.

5. OLD BUSINESS

Warner River Management and Protection Program Letter of Support

The board discussed what transpired at the previous meeting on March 6th on this matter. Lois Lord stated that at the last meeting the board decided they would send the letter but would not use the suggested format that was sent to them. Chairman Frost is to write the letter which he had not been made aware of previously. Selectman Dabuliewicz said he was concerned that Chairman Frost had not had any input on the issue. Chairman Frost asked if there was a deadline and Lois replied that the requestor has to have it to DES by June 1st.

Chairman Frost asked if someone would assist him in the development of the letter and Ken Millender and Peter Anderson offered to do that. Ben said he would do a draft, send it to the two of them who will not correspond with each other but will send comments back to Ben. Chairman Frost said we don't want to do an email meeting as then we are dealing with the right to know law. The letter will be presented to the board at the May 1st meeting.

6. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

Master Plan Subcommittee – Chairman Frost noted this committee has not met recently. James Gaffney asked if he would like to shoot for the May work session which Chairman Frost agreed to or to some other time which will be properly noticed.

Chairman Frost stated the board would need to reform the CIP Committee in the near future. After discussion, it was decided that Peter Anderson, Don Hall and Ben Frost will form this committee and Peter will recruit an at large member.

7. COMMUNICATIONS AND MISCELLANEOUS

Chairman's Report – There was none.

Compliance Reviews – There were none.

Secretary's report on Land Use Application Submittals – Lois Lord noted there is a Lot Line and Subdivision application that has been submitted that will be presented at the May meeting. Chairman Frost asked if this had been submitted before and then withdrawn and Lois said she didn't think so and that it is from Brown/Carlson and is at 145 Burnt Hill Road. Lois said they have requested a waiver of the Lot Line application fee with the reasoning that it is somewhat of a duplication of the Land Use Offices time. She has a check for the total which is \$610.00 for applications, abutters noticing and \$100 for a 2 lot subdivision. They are requesting a waiver of \$150.00 for the Lot Line Application fee. Lois said she had talked to the former Land Use Secretary and we sometimes have done this but it is obviously up to the board. Chairman Frost said they will address that when they hear the application.

Selectman's Representative Report – Selectman Dabuliewicz stated the Board of Selectman are still in negotiation with Nate Burrington on purchase of the Odd Fellows building and are hoping they are close to closing.

The architect and contract manager are working on details for the new Fire Station building.

Barbara Annis said her understanding is that Ken Millender is no longer a representative to Central NH Regional Planning. Lois stated that it's not Ken but is Jonathan Lord who resigned. Chairman Frost said he has a potential candidate to be a representative.

Barbara noted she has a TAC meeting this Friday.

Selectman Dabuliewicz stated the Economic Development Committee is presenting to the Board of Selectmen at 6 p.m. on April 25th if anyone is interested. The next Board of Selectmen public meeting is next week.

Barbara asked where we were with Mike McChesney. Lois Lord said she believes it was agreed to accept the amendment to his original site plan. Selectman Dabuliewicz said the Fire Chief did talk to Mike and he believes they are on the same page.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT

There was none.

9. ADJOURN

Chairman Frost declared the meeting adjourned at 8:39 p.m.

APPROVED